

## 213(8): Transformation of the Mixed Index Connection, Part 2.

As in note 213(7):

$$\Gamma_{\mu'\lambda'}^{a'} = v_{\mu'}^{\mu} v_{\lambda'}^{\lambda} v_a^{a'} \left( \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^a - v_{\nu}^a \partial_{\mu} v_{\lambda}^{\nu} \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $v_a^{a'} = \Lambda^{a'}_a \quad (2)$

is Lorentz transform.

The torsion transforms as:

$$T_{\mu'\lambda'}^{a'} = \Gamma_{\mu'\lambda'}^{a'} - \Gamma_{\lambda'\mu'}^{a'} = v_{\mu'}^{\mu} v_{\lambda'}^{\lambda} v_a^{a'} T_{\mu\lambda}^a \quad (3)$$

is which:  $v_{\mu'}^{\mu} \neq 0, v_{\lambda'}^{\lambda} \neq 0, v_a^{a'} \neq 0. \quad (4)$

The transformation law (3) of the torsion shows that there is no dependence of  $x^{\mu}$  on  $x^a$  and no dependence of  $x^{\lambda}$  on  $x^a$ . If there were such a dependence then

$$\frac{\partial x^{\mu'}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{\partial x^{\mu'}}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \delta_{\mu}^{\mu'} \quad (5)$$

$$= 0$$

unless  $\mu' = \mu \quad (6)$

and in general this is not true.

2) In general the torsion can be transformed in the tangent space:

$$T^{a'} = \Lambda^{a'}_a T^a \quad - (7)$$

for each  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . The torsion is a vector valued two-form, in the tangent space it is a vector that transforms under the Lorentz transform.

Similarly, if:

$$\frac{dx^{\lambda'}}{dx^{\lambda}} = ? \quad \frac{dx^{\lambda'}}{dx^a} \frac{dx^a}{dx^{\lambda}} = \delta^{\lambda'}_{\lambda} \quad - (8)$$

$$= 0 \quad \lambda' = ? \lambda \quad - (9)$$

unless

another reduction to absurdity occurs.

So any  $x^{\mu}$  depends on  $x^a$ , otherwise absurd results are obtained.

Self consistently, the Riemann torsion is:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^a_{\mu\lambda} \tilde{v}^{\lambda} \tilde{v}^a \quad - (10)$$

in which any  $\tilde{v}^a$  is defined. Therefore:

$$\tilde{v}^a_{\mu} = \tilde{v}^a_{\lambda} = 0, \quad - (11)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \tilde{v}^a_{\mu} \neq 0. \quad - (12)$$

3) Now consider:

$$q_{\tilde{\nu}'}^a \partial_{\mu} q_{\tilde{\lambda}'}^{\tilde{\nu}'} = q_{\tilde{\nu}'}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}} x^{\tilde{\nu}'} \right) \quad - (13)$$

Using the Leibnitz Rule:

$$\boxed{q_{\tilde{\nu}'}^a \partial_{\mu} q_{\tilde{\lambda}'}^{\tilde{\nu}'} = \partial_{\mu} (q_{\tilde{\nu}'}^a q_{\tilde{\lambda}'}^{\tilde{\nu}'})} \quad - (14)$$
$$= \partial_{\mu} q_{\tilde{\lambda}'}^a = 0$$

because:

$$\partial_{\mu} (q_{\tilde{\nu}'}^a q_{\tilde{\lambda}'}^{\tilde{\nu}'})$$
$$= q_{\tilde{\nu}'}^a \partial_{\mu} q_{\tilde{\lambda}'}^{\tilde{\nu}'} + q_{\tilde{\lambda}'}^{\tilde{\nu}'} \partial_{\mu} q_{\tilde{\nu}'}^a \quad - (15)$$

and

$$\partial_{\mu} q_{\tilde{\nu}'}^a = 0 \quad - (16)$$

because

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x^{\tilde{\nu}'}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\tilde{\nu}'}} \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x^{\mu}} \quad - (17)$$

Here

$$q_{\mu}^a = \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x^{\mu}} = 0 \quad - (18)$$

So:

$$\boxed{\Gamma_{\mu' \lambda'}^{a'} = q_{\mu'}^{\mu} q_{\lambda'}^{\lambda} q_a^{a'} \Gamma_{\mu \lambda}^a} \quad - (19)$$

It follows that:

$$\Gamma^{\nu'}_{\mu'\lambda'} = g^{\nu'}_{a'} \Gamma^{a'}_{\mu'\lambda'}$$

$$= g^{\nu'}_{\nu} g^a_{a'} g^{\tilde{\nu}}_{\tilde{a}} g^{\mu}_{\mu'} g^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} g^{a'}_{a} \Gamma^a_{\mu\lambda}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma^{\nu'}_{\mu'\lambda'} = g^{\nu'}_{\nu} g^{\mu}_{\mu'} g^{\lambda}_{\lambda'} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\lambda}} \quad - (20)$$

and:

$$\Gamma^{\nu'}_{\mu'\lambda'} = - \Gamma^{\nu'}_{\lambda'\mu'} \quad - (21)$$

$$\Gamma^{\tilde{\nu}}_{\mu\lambda} = - \Gamma^{\tilde{\nu}}_{\lambda\mu} \quad - (22)$$

The Christoffel connection is antisymmetric  
in any frame of reference.

This proof refutes Einsteinian general relativity.

Notes

The transformation law means that:

$$g^{\mu'}_{a'} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu'}}{\partial x^a} g^{\mu}_a \quad - (23)$$

$$\text{and } g^{\mu}_{\mu'} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu'}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{a'}}{\partial x^a} g^{\mu}_a \quad - (24)$$

Therefore:

$$5) \quad g_{\mu'}^{\mu} = g_{\mu}^{\mu'} g_{\mu'}^{\mu} \quad - (25)$$

The dimensions of  $\mu'$  are the same as those of  $\mu$  so  
 both  $g_{\mu}^{\mu'}$  and  $g_{\mu'}^{\mu}$  are invertible matrices by  
 definition. Therefore  $g_{\mu'}^{\mu}$  is also an invertible  
matrix and

$$g_{\mu'}^{\mu} = g_{\mu'}^{\mu} g_{\mu'}^{\mu} = \delta_{\mu}^{\mu} \quad - (26)$$

and  $g_{\mu'}^{\mu} g_{\mu}^{\mu'} = \delta_{\mu}^{\mu'} \quad - (27)$

But The error is of old theory was to assert  
 $\Gamma_{\mu'\lambda'}^{a'} = ? \quad \Gamma_{\lambda'\mu'}^{a'} \quad - (28)$

Because:

$$\partial_{\mu} g_{\lambda}^{\mu'} = \partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu}^{\mu'} \quad - (29)$$

i.e.  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{dx^{\mu'}}{\partial x^{\lambda}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}} \frac{dx^{\mu'}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \quad - (30)$

Cartan geometry was never considered and  
 this proof shows that:

$$\boxed{g_{\mu'}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} g_{\lambda}^{\mu'} = g_{\mu'}^{\mu} \partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu}^{\mu'} = 0} \quad - (31)$$