

1) 255(3): Proof that Zero Torsion means Zero Curvature
 Consider the vector form of the Cartan identity

derived in 4FT 254:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{T}^a + \underline{\omega}^a_b \cdot \underline{T}^b := \underline{\omega}^b_a \cdot \underline{R}^a_b - (1)$$

If torsion is neglected:

$$\underline{T}^a = \underline{0} - (2)$$

then $\underline{\omega}^b_a \cdot \underline{R}^a_b = \underline{0} - (3)$

A possible solution of eq. (3) is:

$$\underline{R}^a_b = \underline{0} - (4)$$

so if torsion is zero, curvature is zero, QED.
 This calculation is alone enough to refute the
entire era of Einsteinian general relativity.

If it is argued that:

$$\underline{\omega}^b_a \cdot \underline{R}^a_b = \underline{0} - (5)$$

then in tensor notation this is equivalent to the double
 first Bianchi identity:

$$R^a_{\mu\nu\rho} + R^a_{\rho\nu\mu} + R^a_{\mu\rho\nu} = 0 - (6)$$

Eq. (6) means that if double Einsteinian era:

$$\underline{\omega}^b_a \cdot \underline{R}^a_b = \underline{0}, - (7)$$

$$\underline{R}^a_b \neq \underline{0} - (8)$$

$$\underline{T}^a = \underline{0} - (9)$$

By definition eq. (7) means that:

$$2) \quad \underline{v}^b \cdot (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a_b - \underline{\omega}^a_c \times \underline{\omega}^c_b) = 0. \quad - (10)$$

The first Bianchi identity is therefore:

$$\underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a_b = \underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\omega}^a_c \times \underline{\omega}^c_b \quad - (11)$$

In tensor notation eq. (10) is:

$$R^a_{\mu\nu\rho} + R^a_{\rho\mu\nu} + R^a_{\nu\rho\mu} \\ = \underline{v}^{\mu} (R^a_{b\nu\rho} + R^a_{b\rho\nu} + R^a_{b\rho\mu}) \quad - (12)$$

which is an antisymmetrized tensor product equivalent to.

$$R^a_b \wedge \underline{v}^b = \underline{v}^b \wedge R^a_b = 0 \quad - (13)$$

in differential form notation. In shorthand eq. (13) is

$$R \wedge \underline{v} = \underline{v} \wedge R = 0. \quad - (14)$$

Note carefully that the correct Cartan identity is:

$$D \wedge T := \underline{v} \wedge R \quad - (15)$$

In vector notation eq. (15) is:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a_b \times \underline{v}^b = \underline{\omega}^a_b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^b - \underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a_b \quad - (16)$$

The Bianchi identity (14) assume zero torsion:

$$\underline{T}^a = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^a - \underline{\omega}^a_b \times \underline{v}^b = \underline{0} \quad - (17)$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^b = \underline{\omega}^b_c \times \underline{v}^c \quad - (18)$$

From eq. (18) & eq. (16):

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b = \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{\omega}^b{}_c \times \underline{v}^c - \underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \quad - (19)$$

which:

$$\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{\omega}^b{}_c \times \underline{v}^c = \underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_c \times \underline{\omega}^c{}_b \quad - (20)$$

From eqs. (19) and (20):

$$\underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_c \times \underline{\omega}^c{}_b = \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b + \underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \quad - (21)$$

but from eq. (11):

$$\underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_c \times \underline{\omega}^c{}_b = \underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \quad - (22)$$

Comparing eqs. (21) and (22) gives:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b = 0 \quad - (23)$$

Eq. (23) means that the first Bianchi identity implies:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B}^a = 0 \quad - (24)$$

which is the result also obtained by:

$$\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{T}^b = \underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{R}^a{}_b \quad - (25)$$

in previous notes.

The first Bianchi identity implies that

$$\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^b - \underline{\omega}^b{}_c \times \underline{v}^c) = 0 \quad - (26)$$

4) so:

$$\underline{\omega}^a_b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{q}^b = \underline{q}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a_b - (27)$$

which is eq. (23), QED.

The analysis is therefore rigorously self consistent.

From eqs. (11) and (27):

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{q}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a_b &= \underline{q}^b \cdot \underline{\omega}^a_c \times \underline{\omega}^c_b - (28) \\ &= \underline{\omega}^a_b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{q}^b \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the first Bianchi identity can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{q}^b \cdot \underline{R}^a_b &= 2 \underline{\omega}^a_b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{q}^b \\ &= 2 \underline{q}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a_b = 0 - (29) \end{aligned}$$

If there is no gravitomagnetic field then

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{q}^b = \underline{\omega}^b_c \times \underline{q}^c - (30)$$

and

$$\underline{q}^b \cdot \underline{R}^a_b = 0. - (31)$$

The commutator method can be used to prove

> Rat:

$$\underline{R}^a{}_b = \underline{0} - (3^2)$$

if $\underline{T}^a = \underline{0} - (3^3)$

g is note 254(4) and 4FT 139. This lead to the result (4), which is consistent with:

$$\nabla^b \cdot \underline{R}^a{}_b = 0 - (3^4)$$

The first Bianchi identity may also be written as:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \nabla^b = 0 - (3^5)$$

which implies the absence of the gravitational equivalent of the magnetic monopole.

Eq. (1) is a very clear demonstration that if the torsion is zero the curvature is zero, thus confirming that the commutator method is correct. The assumption:

$$\underline{T}^a = \underline{0} - (36)$$

implies eq. (35), which also implies:

$$\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{T}^b = \nabla^b \cdot \underline{R}^a{}_b - (37)$$

$$= 0$$

self consistently.

The first Bianchi identity was derived in an era when torsion was not known and can be written as:

46) $R^a_{\mu\nu\rho} = R^a_{\rho\mu\nu} = R^a_{\nu\rho\mu} = 0$. - (38)

The correct identities must always be Certain identity:

$$D_\mu T^a_{\nu\rho} + D_\rho T^a_{\mu\nu} + D_\nu T^a_{\rho\mu} := R^a_{\mu\nu\rho} + R^a_{\rho\mu\nu} + R^a_{\nu\rho\mu} \quad - (39)$$

and Evans identity:

$$D_\mu \tilde{T}^a_{\nu\rho} + D_\rho \tilde{T}^a_{\mu\nu} + D_\nu \tilde{T}^a_{\rho\mu} := \tilde{R}^a_{\mu\nu\rho} + \tilde{R}^a_{\rho\mu\nu} + \tilde{R}^a_{\nu\rho\mu} \quad - (40)$$

These have been proven in all detail in the LFT

papers.

The vector notation for eqs. (39) and (40) is:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{T}^a + \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{T}^b := \underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{R}^a{}_b \quad - (41)$$

and

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\tilde{T}}^a + \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{\tilde{T}}^b := \underline{v}^b \cdot \underline{\tilde{R}}^a{}_b \quad - (42)$$

for space like indices:

$$\mu, \nu, \rho = i, j, k = 1, 2, 3 \quad - (43)$$

i.e.

$$D_1 T^a_{23} + D_2 T^a_{31} + D_3 T^a_{12} := R^a_{123} + R^a_{231} + R^a_{312} \quad - (44)$$

5) and:

$$D_1 \tilde{T}_{23}^a + D_2 \tilde{T}_{31}^a + D_3 \tilde{T}_{12}^a := \tilde{R}_{123}^a + \tilde{R}_{231}^a + \tilde{R}_{312}^a - \binom{45}{20}$$

When the time like or 0 index of eqs. $\binom{39}{24}$ and $\binom{40}{25}$ is considered, the cyclic sum $\binom{44}{29}$ becomes:

$$D_0 T_{23}^a + D_2 T_{30}^a + D_3 T_{02}^a := R_{023}^a + R_{230}^a + R_{302}^a - \binom{46}{31}$$

$$D_0 T_{31}^a + D_1 T_{03}^a + D_3 T_{10}^a := R_{031}^a + R_{103}^a + R_{310}^a - \binom{46}{31}$$

$$D_0 T_{12}^a + D_1 T_{20}^a + D_2 T_{01}^a := R_{012}^a + R_{120}^a + R_{201}^a$$

and its Hodge dual equivalent.

For eqs. $\binom{46}{31}$ it is again true that if torsion is zero, curvature is zero. This will be discussed in the next note.
